

SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT
July 13, 2005

YOR920010699US1
Serial No. 09/933,646

REMARKS

Claims 1 – 9 remain in the application. Claims 1 – 7 stand rejected. New claims 8 and 9 have not yet been considered.

The previous amendment, adding new claims 8 and 9, was found to be incomplete because it did not point out patentability of the added claims. Applicants note that claim 8 is supported by claims 1, 2, and 4 – 6; and, claim 9 is supported by claims 1, 3, and 5 – 7.

Regarding the Cuan et al. and Rizzi et al. references relied upon in the prior rejection: although Cuan et al. includes data tuple code 220 and tuple code 243, as with claim 1, Cuan et al. falls far short of teaching “a tuplespace data structure that identifies one or more server computers, a geographic location for each server computer, and authorized, password-protected shared data fields made available through the network to create an extranet image” as recited in claims 8 and 9, lines 4 – 6 (emphasis added). Thus, the Cuan et al. template code and tuple code is quite different than the tuplespace data structure recited in claims 8 and 9. Furthermore, as with claims 1 – 6, the Cuan et al. teaching that “script commands 144 [that] may be deployed along with content to cause scripts to be executed at locations along the deployment path to further control the deployment,” falls far short of the recitation of “an extranet monitor software program that detects incoming messages..., determines a service required by the message, stores a service request corresponding to the service in the tuplespace data structure..., [and] the extranet monitor further routing the message to the destination computer.” Claim 8, lines 7 – 23 and claim 9 lines 7 – 30. As with claims 1 – 7, the Rizzi et al. “content editing system allows developers of instructional materials to edit and design the instructional materials from a database of content” that includes an editor that

SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT
July 13, 2005

YOR920010699US1
Serial No. 09/933,646

can edit XML documents, some of which may be educational curriculum, falls far short of teaching a computer system as recited in claim 9. Accordingly, Cuan et al. alone, or in combination Rizzi et al., neither teaches or suggests the present invention as recited in new claims 8 or 9.

Believing to have adequately pointed out patentability of the added claims, entry of the Supplemental Amendment and independent consideration of new claims 8 and 9 is respectfully solicited.

Should anything further be required, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney at the local telephone number listed below for a telephonic or personal interview to discuss any other changes.

Please charge any deficiencies in fees and credit any overpayment of fees to attorney's deposit account number 50-0510 and advise us accordingly.

Respectfully Submitted,



Charles W. Peterson, Jr.
Registration No. 34,406

July 13, 2005
(Date)

Customer No. 33233
Law Office of Charles W. Peterson, Jr.
11703 Bowman Green Dr,
Suite 100
Reston, VA 20190
Telephone: (703) 481-0532
Facsimile: (703) 481-0585